

Statistical mechanics of random graphs

Zdzisław Burda ^{a,1}, Jerzy Jurkiewicz ^a, André Krzywicki ^b,

^a*M. Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagellonian University, Cracow, Poland*

^b*Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Université Paris-Sud, Orsay, France*

Abstract

We discuss various aspects of the statistical formulation of the theory of random graphs, with emphasis on results obtained in a series of our recent publications.

PACS numbers: 02.50.Cw, 05.40.-a, 05.50.+q, 87.18.Sn

The backbone of a generic complex system can be represented by a graph: the nodes refer to the system subunits and the links represent the interactions. Such a representation can be used, for example, to describe an ensemble of agents interacting in an economical framework or a telecommunication network. Other examples of natural networks can be found in recent reviews [1].

In this communication we will discuss a statistical formulation of the graph theory [2,3,4,5]. The basic concept in this approach is that of a statistical ensemble: a configuration space (set of graphs) is endowed with a probability measure. Instead of measuring an observable on a single graph one measures its average in the ensemble. One learns about stability and typicality of graphs by measuring fluctuations in the ensemble. This *synchronic* approach is complementary to the diachronic one, where graphs are made up step by step, by adding successive nodes and links.

The first step of the construction is to define the configuration space by choosing the set of graphs to be studied. Of course, there is much freedom in this choice. Here, for definiteness and unless specified otherwise, we will consider simple, undirected, labeled graphs with N vertices and L links. Graphs are, in general multiply connected.

¹ Presented by Z.B. at *Applications of Physics in Financial Analysis 4*, Conference, Warsaw 13-15 Nov. 2003

It is convenient to label the nodes of a graph by an index $i = 1, \dots, N$. A labeled graph can be represented by an adjacency matrix A whose elements are $A_{ij} = 1$ if i and j are neighbors and $A_{ij} = 0$ otherwise.

The adjacency matrix of an unoriented graph with N nodes and L links is an $N \times N$ symmetric matrix with zeros on the diagonal and L unities above (and below) the diagonal. Denote by M_N the set of all $N \times N$ symmetric 0/1 matrices with zeros on the diagonal and by M_{NL} the subset where in addition the number of unities above the diagonal equals L . The partition function for the Erdős-Rényi [6] ensemble of graphs with N nodes and L links can be written, up to some irrelevant normalization factor, as:

$$Z = \sum_{A \in M_N} \delta \left(L - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} A^2 \right) = \sum_{A \in M_{NL}} 1 \quad (1)$$

Let $O = O(A)$ be an observable, a quantity defined on graphs. One is interested in the average over the ensemble

$$\langle O \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{A \in M_{NL}} O(A) \quad (2)$$

and in the fluctuations: $\langle O^2 \rangle - \langle O \rangle^2$ and the higher order ones, in the large N limit. The number of unities in a row i of the matrix A : $q_i = \sum_j A_{ij}$ is equal to the number of links emerging from the node i , and is called the node degree. The probability that a randomly chosen node of the graph has degree q is

$$p(q) = \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \delta(q - q_i) \right\rangle \quad (3)$$

It is not very difficult to calculate $p(q)$ in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit starting from (1). The result is Poissonian

$$p(q) = \frac{\alpha^q}{q!} e^{-\alpha} \quad (4)$$

where the constant α is determined by the ratio L/N , kept fixed in the limit²: $\alpha \equiv \langle q \rangle_p = 2L/N$. However, in most interesting real networks the degree distribution is skew. In the so-called *scale-free* networks it has a fat tail extending over several decades and well fitted with a power law: $p_{\text{exp}}(q) \sim q^{-\beta}$. The most conservative extension of the Erdős-Rényi ensemble consists in introducing an additional statistical weight $W(A)$ for graphs, replacing the partition function (1) by [2,3]:

$$Z = \sum_{A \in M_{NL}} W(A) \quad (5)$$

² Notation: given a positive measure and an observable depending on node degrees, say $w(q)$ and $O(q)$, we write $\langle O \rangle_w$ for $\sum_q O(q)w(q) / \sum_q w(q)$.

The corresponding averages read now

$$\langle O \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{A \in M_{NL}} W(A) O(A) \quad (6)$$

The simplest choice for the statistical weight is:

$$W(A) = w(q_1)w(q_2) \dots w(q_N) \quad (7)$$

In the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit one gets now

$$p(q) = \frac{w(q)}{q!} \exp(Aq - B) \quad (8)$$

where the parameters A, B are chosen in such a way that $\sum_q p(q) = 1$ and $\langle q \rangle_p = \sum_q qp(q) = 2L/N$. Moreover, the probability that a randomly chosen graph has degrees $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N$, factorizes:

$$p(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N) = p(q_1)p(q_2) \dots p(q_N) \quad (9)$$

This is why one refers to this model as to the model of "uncorrelated networks". For scale-free networks the asymptotic results (8)-(9) are only partly true because finite-size effects strongly affect the tail of the degree distribution: First of all, at finite N the tail of $p(q)$ cannot extend to infinity because there exists some $q_{\max}$ such that the expected number of nodes with $q > q_{\max}$ is less than unity. Neglecting correlations one finds the scaling law

$$q_{\max} \sim N^{1/(\beta-1)} \quad (10)$$

Furthermore, as shown in [3], the condition that the graphs are simple, *i.e.* self and multiple connections between nodes are absent, implies that

$$q_{\max} \sim N^{1/2} \quad (11)$$

which for $2 < \beta < 3$ is stronger than (10). Hence, in this case, not only the degree distribution is cut but also specific correlations are generated at finite N .

By choosing the appropriate weight function $w(q)$ for uncorrelated networks one can reproduce the experimentally observed degree distribution, modulo the above mentioned finite-size effects. We have constructed a numerical algorithm, enabling one to simulate the model on a computer. We have also obtained some further analytic results.

In particular, the model is analytically solvable, when one restricts one's attention to tree graphs. In this case $\langle q \rangle_p = 2$, since $L = N - 1$. Assume that $w(q) \sim q^{-\gamma}$ at large q . What is the shape of the degree distribution? The general result (8) no longer holds, because trees constitute a negligible fraction of

all possible graphs. It turns out that an interesting phase structure emerges [2]:

(a) When $\langle q \rangle_w = 2$ the trees are scale-free, with the degree distribution equal to $qw(q)$, up to normalization.

(b) When $\langle q \rangle_w < 2$ one finds that the degree distribution is up to normalization equal to $qw(q)$ for most of the range of q , a singular node showing up at q of the order of N . The winner-takes-all scenario holds.

(c) When $\langle q \rangle_w > 2$ the degree distribution falls exponentially, the scale-free input is forgotten.

Notice, that the scale-free regime is unstable with respect to small distortions of the input weight. Further information is obtained when one calculates the fractal dimension d_H controlling the average shortest path r between a pair of nodes

$$\langle r \rangle \sim N^{1/d_H} \quad (12)$$

It is known that the generic intrinsic fractal dimension of trees is $d_H = 2$. This is also what one finds in the case (c) and in the case (a) when $p(q)$ falls faster than q^{-3} , *i.e.* when $\beta > 3$. When $2 < \beta < 3$

$$d_H = \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - 2} \quad (13)$$

In the case (b) $d_H = \infty$.

It is interesting to keep the same microstate weights as before, but assume that trees are endowed with a causal structure [4]. We say that this is the case when the node labels always appear in growing numerical order as one moves along the tree from the root - we have rooted trees in mind - towards an arbitrary node. Hence only a subclass of labelings is accepted. It turns out that the most popular growing network models can be reformulated in this static formalism. The original results are recovered in an elegant fashion. This shows that the widely accepted distinction between growing and equilibrium networks is not really correct, the two approaches are just complementary. Among new results is the calculation of the fractal dimension: Remarkably enough, we find that it is generically infinite, $d_H = \infty$, in contrast to what happens in maximally random trees (see above).

Uncorrelated networks have a local tree structure. This is a well known fact in the context of the Erdős-Rényi theory. The same arguments hold in the generalized set-up. This tree structure persists when simple internode correlations are introduced. Actually, a general recipe generating short loops in static graph models was missing in the literature, until recently. We have succeeded to make a progress in this matter [5,7]. One should mention that short

loops are a common feature of natural networks. In particular, the clustering coefficient is relatively large.

The clustering coefficient for a given vertex i is defined as $C_i = \frac{T_i}{q_i(q_i-1)/2}$, where T_i is number of triangular loops, called also three-cycles, meeting at i . The clustering coefficient of a graph is just the average of C_i over nodes. The reason why the coefficient is small for uncorrelated graphs is that the number of three-cycles $T = \frac{1}{6}\text{tr}A^3$ is small. One can show that for the Erdős-Rényi graphs the total number of three-cycles approaches a fixed constant, $\langle T \rangle = \alpha^3/6$ for $N \rightarrow \infty$. A similar result holds for cycles with a larger number of links. Hence, the chance of finding a cycle on a large network is close to zero. This is a manifestation of the local tree structure of graphs.

We consider therefore a generalized model for graphs by adding to the Hamiltonian an interaction term favoring the formation of three-cycles. Hence, the microstate weights (7) are modified as follows³:

$$W(A) \rightarrow W(A) \exp\left(\frac{1}{6}G\text{tr}A^3\right) \quad (14)$$

The resulting model has two phases: the crumpled and the perturbative one. The crumpled phase is dominated by graphs which maximize the number of three-cycles, which is of order $N^{3/2}$. For any $G > 0$ and for large N the term $\sim GN^{3/2}$ in the exponent exceeds the entropy [8]. Thus the corresponding configuration plays the role of the ground state of the model for any $G > 0$. The perturbative phase is obtained by letting the interaction Hamiltonian to act softly on the uncorrelated graphs ($G = 0$). We have developed the corresponding perturbation theory. It turns out that the two phases are separated by a free energy barrier similar to that in a first order phase transition. Here however the barrier has an additional important feature. When $N \rightarrow \infty$ the barrier and the stability range of the perturbative phase increase. This means that at large N a random walker, representing a local process in the configuration space, will never be able to roll over the barrier and to reach the ground state. When the uncorrelated graphs are those of Erdős-Rényi the value $G = G_{out}$ of the coupling constant where the system jumps to the crumpled phase scales logarithmically with N : $G_{out} = x_{out} \ln N$. By summing the leading diagrams we get the number of three-cycles in the perturbative phase, $G < G_{out}$:

$$\langle T \rangle = \frac{\alpha^3}{6}e^G = \frac{\alpha^3}{6}N^x \quad (15)$$

where the effective coupling constant $x < x_{out}$ is defined by $G = x \ln N$. Thus, in this new theory the number of three-cycles grows with N . It is a first step towards a theory of graphs with a non-trivial clustering.

³ Actually, in ref. [5] the unperturbed model is that of Erdős-Rényi ($W(A) = 1$), the general case will be discussed in our forthcoming publication [7].

The random graph theory formulated in the language of statistical mechanics can be studied using the dynamical Monte-Carlo techniques [2,3]. Actually, all our analytic results were checked and confirmed by such numerical simulations. The idea behind the Monte Carlo technique is to invent a Markovian process performing a sort of random walk in the configuration space and sampling configurations with the frequency proportional to $W(A)$. If the process is ergodic and the transition probability fulfills the detailed balance condition the process generates configurations with the required frequency. A good candidate for such a process is a sequence of rewirings performed with the Metropolis probability. Naively, to encode a $N \times N$ adjacency matrix one requires the quadratic (N^2) storage capacity. However, since the matrix is sparse and only the positions of L it's elements are relevant, one can introduce a linear storage structure [2] which in practice allows one to code networks with up to $10^6 - 10^7$ nodes. Finally, let us mention that our code not only produces graphs but also simulates a thermal motion. This was important in refs. [5,7].

Acknowledgments: This work was partially supported by the EC IHP Grant No. HPRN-CT-1999-000161, by the Polish State Committee for Scientific Research (KBN) grants 2P03B 99622 (2002-2004) and 2P03B-08225 (2003-2006), and by EU IST Center of Excellence "COPIRA". Laboratoire de Physique Théorique is Unité Mixte du CNRS UMR 8627.

References

- [1] R. Albert, A.-L. Barabasi, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 47 (2002); S. N. Dorogovtsev, J.F.F. Mendes, Adv. Phys. **51**, 1079 (2002) and *Evolution of Networks: from Biological Nets to the Internet and WWW*, (Oxford University Press, New York, 2003); M.E.J. Newman, SIAM Review **45**, 167 (2003).
- [2] Z. Burda, J.D. Correia, A. Krzywicki, Phys.Rev. **E64** (2001) 046118
- [3] Z. Burda, A. Krzywicki, Phys. Rev. **E67**, 046118 (2003).
- [4] P. Bialas, Z. Burda, J. Jurkiewicz, A. Krzywicki, Phys. Rev. **E67**, 066106 (2003).
- [5] Z. Burda, J. Jurkiewicz, A. Krzywicki, cond-mat/0310234, to be published in Phys. Rev. E
- [6] Erdős-Rényi theory, see e.g. B. Bollobás, *Random graphs*, Academic Press, New York 1985
- [7] Z. Burda, J. Jurkiewicz, A. Krzywicki, in preparation.
- [8] D. Strauss, SIAM Review **28**, 513 (1986).